New Standards or Old? Guidelines for Effective Research and Family Histories

Thomas W. Jones, Ph.D., CG, CGL, FASG, FUGA, FNGS Tom@JonesResearchServices.com

It must be true. I seen it in print.
—Favorite Proverb of Morons*

Why Standards?

- ✓ Genealogy standards are sensible and practical guidelines that successful practitioners routinely implement.
- ✓ Standards-based research is more efficient than substandard research.
- ✓ Following standards increases the chances of achieving accurate and long-standing results.
- ✓ Genealogy standards provide guidelines for documenting, planning, researching, reasoning, writing, teaching, and continuing education.
- Standards provide a paradigm for assessing research results.

Why Revised Standards?

- ✓ Advancements and new understandings of genealogical methods and products.
- ✓ New tools and technologies for genealogists
- ✓ Increased clarification of the standards' wording
- ✓ Reorganization to reduce repetition and overlap and to group related standards together.
- ✓ Separation of standards and definitions.

The 2014

Genealogy Standards

Manual

- ✓ 83 standards replace 72
- ✓ Fewer multipart standards
- ✓ New and more-detailed categorization of standards
- ✓ Titles for each standard
- ✓ References to examples of work that meets standards (rather than fictitious examples in an appendix)
- ✓ Resulted from an open collaborative process with public input

^{*}Quotation from Donald Lines Jacobus, *Genealogy as Pastime and Profession* (1936; rev. 2nd ed., Baltimore: Genealogical Publishing Co., 1968), 69.

Comparison/Conversion of 2000 and 2014 Genealogy Standards

Comparison chart created by Alison Hare and Thomas W. Jones and used here with permission of the creators and the Board for Certification of Genealogists.

	2014 Standards	2000 Standards
Category	Number and Descriptor	Number and Category
Standards for Documenting	 Scope Specificity Purposes Citation uses Citation elements Format Shortcuts Separation safeguards 	8 and 17, Data-Collection Standards 36, 49, and 53, Compilation Standards 67, Standards for Writers
	9. Planned research	5, Data-Collection Standards
	10. Effective research questions11. Sound basis	37, Compilation Standards5, Data-Collection Standards37, 55, Compilation Standards
	12. Broad context	5, Data-Collection Standards 19, Evidence-Evaluation Standards 37, Compilation Standards
Standards for	13. Source-based content	5, Data-Collection Standards 37, Compilation Standards
Researching: Planning Research	14. Topical breadth	5, Data-Collection Standards 19, Evidence-Evaluation Standards 37, Compilation Standards
NESEARCH	15. Efficient sequence	5, Data-Collection Standards
	16. Flexibility	37, Compilation Standards
	17. Extent	5, Data-Collection Standards 19, Evidence-Evaluation Standards 37, Compilation Standards
	18. Terminating the plan	5, Data-Collection Standards 37, Compilation Standards
	19. Data-collection scope	19, Evidence-Evaluation Standards
	20. Careful handling	1, Data-Collection Standards
	21. Respect for source caretakers	2, Data-Collection Standards
Standards	22. Using others' work	3–4, Data-Collection Standards
for	23. Reading handwriting	
Researching:	24. Understanding meanings	6, Data-Collection Standards
Collecting.	25. Note-taking content	o, z am concentration
_	26. Distinction between content & comments	
Data	27. Note-taking objectivity	7, Data-Collection Standards
	28. Images and printouts	9–10, Data-Collection Standards
	29. Transcriptions	11–12, Data-Collection Standards
	30. Abstracts	14, Data-Collection Standards

	2014 Standards	2000 Standards
Category	Number and Descriptor	Number and Category
• ,	31. Quotations	13, Data-Collection Standards
Collecting	32. Transcribing, abstracting, and quoting principles	11–14, Data-Collection Standards
Data,	33. Paraphrases and summaries	15–16, Data-Collection Standards
Continued	34. Agents	18, Data-Collection Standards
00	35. Source analysis	21, Evidence-Evaluation
	36. Information analysis	22, Evidence-Evaluation
	37. Sources, information, and evidence	21–22 and 29, Evidence-Evaluation
	38. Source preference	21, Evidence-Evaluation
	39. Information preference	22, Evidence-Evaluation
	40. Evidence mining	20 and 29-30, Evidence-Evaluation
	41. Evidence scope	19 and 24, Evidence-Evaluation
Standards	42. Evidence discrimination	25 and 41–42, Evidence-Evaluation
for Researching:	43. Evidence integrity44. Evidence reliability	25, Evidence-Evaluation
Reasoning	45. Assumptions	28, Evidence-Evaluation
from	46. Evidence independence	33, Evidence-Evaluation
Evidence	47. Evidence correlation	20 and 27, Evidence-Evaluation
	48. Resolving evidence inconsistencies 49. Unresolved evidence inconsistencies	23 and 34, Evidence-Evaluation
	50. Assembling conclusions from	26, Evidence-Evaluation
	evidence	43, 50 and 56, Compilation Standards
Standards	51. Research scope	19, Evidence-Evaluation
for Writing:	52. Proved conclusions	43, 44–48, 50, 56, Compilation Standards
Genealogical	53. Selection of appropriate options	70, Standards for Writers
Proofs	54. Logical organization	
	55. Integrity and ownership	3–4, Data-Collection Standards
	56. Honesty	47, Compilation Standards
	57. Background information	41, 43 and 48, Compilation Standards
Standards	58. Content	42, Compilation Standards
for Writing:	59. Proofs included	44–48, Compilation Standards
Assembled	60. Overall format	40, Compilation Standards
Research	61. Structure	35, 40, 45, Compilation Standards
Results	62. Clear writing	35, Compilation Standards
	63. Technically correct writing	67, Standards for Writers
	64. Cross referencing	38, Compilation Standards
	65. Genealogical formats	52, Compilation Standards
	66. Biographical information	51, Compilation Standards

Copyright © 2017 by Thomas W. Jones.

	2014 Standards	2000 Standards
Category	Number and Descriptor	Number and Category
	67. Reports	37–40, Compilation Standards
Standards	68. Lineage-society applications	54 Compilation Standards
for Writing:	69. Source guides	68, Standards for Writers
Special-Use	70. Methodology guides	69, Standards for Writers
Genealogical	71. Compiled abstracts	14, Data-Collection Standards
Products	72. Reviews	71, Standards for Writers
	73. Database programs	72, Standards for Writers
Standards for Genealogical Educators: Lecturers	 74. Planned outcomes 75. Content titles 76. Enhancements 77. Bibliographies 78. Presentation style 79. Ownership 	57–60, Standards for Lecturers 61–66, Standards for Instructors
and Instructors	80. Course design 81. Student evaluation	61–66, Standards for Instructors
Standards for	82. Development goals	74, Standards for Continuing Education
Continuing Education	83. Regular engagement	73, Standards for Continuing Education

Sources and References

- 1. Board for Certification of Genealogists. *The BCG Genealogical Standards Manual*. Orem, Utah: Ancestry.com, 2000.
- 2. Genealogy Standards. Nashville, Tenn.: Ancestry, 2014.
- 3. "Certification: Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ)." Board for Certification of Genealogists. www.BCGcertification.org/certification/faq.html: 2017.
- 4. "The Genealogical Proof Standard." Board for Certification of Genealogists. www .BCGcertification.org/resources/standard.html: 2017.
- 5. Jones, Thomas W. "The Genealogical Proof Standard: How Simple Can It Be?" OnBoard: Newsletter of the Board for Certification of Genealogists 16 (September 2010): 17–18 and 20.
- 6. . Mastering Genealogical Proof. Arlington, Virginia: National Genealogical Society, 2013. [Textbook in e-book and paperback formats.]
- 7. "Roots of Today's Standards for Amateur and Professional Genealogy." *CrossRoads* 11 (Spring 2016): 4–9.
- 8. Leary, Helen F. M. "Evidence Revisited—DNA, POE, and GPS." *OnBoard*: Newsletter of the Board for Certification of Genealogists 4 (January 1998): 1–2 and 5.
- 9. Mills, Elizabeth Shown. "Working with Historical Evidence: Genealogical Principles and Standards." *National Genealogical Society Quarterly* 87 (September 1999): 165–84.